[2nd July 1962

கனம் திரு. பி. கக்கன் : *தேவை ஏற்படும்போது, அதைச் செய்யும்.*

3-10 p.m. **திரு. எம். சுப்பையா செட்டியார் :** *அரசாங்கம் புழுங்கல் அரிசியை தயாரித்தோ அல்லது வெளியில் வாங்கியோ நியாயவிலைக் கடைகளுக்கு கொடுக்கும் உத்தேசம் ஏதேனும் உண்டா?*

கனம் திரு. பி. கக்கன் : *மத்திய சர்க்காரிலிருந்து வாங்கிக் கொடுத்திருக்கிறோம்.*

டாக்டர் ஏ. சீனிவாசன் : *அரிசி இப்போது திருட்டுப் போகிறபோது ஃபேர் பிரைஸ் ஷாப்புகள் ஏன் 'ரன்' செய்ய வேண்டும் ?*

கனம் திரு. பி. கக்கன் : *ஒரு சிலர் சமூக விரோதிகளாக இருந்தால், மற்றவர்களை அதற்காக கெடுத்துவிடக்கூடாது.*

திரு. எஸ். கே. சம்பந்தன் : *அரசாங்கம் தருகின்ற பச்சை அரியைப் புழுங்கல் அரிசியாக மாற்றுவதற்கு ஏன் ஏற்பாடு செய்யக்கூடாது ? அதில் என்ன சிரமம் இருக்கிறது ?*

MR. CHAIRMAN : Questions are over.

[*Note*.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTION.

ELECTION OF THE COUNCIL'S REPRESENTATIVE TO THE BOARD OF INDUSTRIES.

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

"That in accordance with section 3 (1) (b) of the Madras State Aid to Industries Act, 1922 (Madras Act V of 1923) as amended and rule XXII (3) of the Rules framed under the Act, this Council do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Chairman to elect one person to be the Council's representative on the Board of Industries constituted under the Act in the vacancy of Srimathi Jothi Vencatachellum who has been elected to the Legislative Assembly".

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"That in accordance with section 3 (1) (*b*) of the Madras State Aid to Industries Act, 1922 (Madras Act V of 1923) as amended and rule XXII (3) of the Rules framed under the Act, the Council do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Chairman to elect one person to be the Council's representative on the Board of Industries constituted under the Act in the vacancy of Srimathi Jothi Vencatachellum who has been elected to the Legislative Assembly"

The motion was put and carried.

2nd July 1962]

Mr. CHAIRMAN : I have to inform the House that in accordance with the regulation (2) (1) framed for the holding of elections according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote, I fix the following programme for the election of one member to the Board of Industries :—

Time and date for the receipt of nominations—5 p.m. on 4th July 1962.

Time and date for the scrutiny of nominations—12 noon on 5th July 1962.

Time and date for the withdrawal of nominations—3 p.m. on 5th July 1962.

Poll, if necessary—Between 11 a.m. and 1 p.m. on 7th July 1962.

Nomination forms can be had from the Secretary to the Council.

IV.—GENERAL DISCUSSION ON THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 1962–63.

Mr. CHAIRMAN : We will now take up the general discussion on the Budget for the year 1962–63. The hon. member Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar will now speak.

Sri K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am thankful to you for calling me first to speak on the Budget for the year 1962–63. It is with mixed feelings that I welcome these Budget proposals of the Hon. the Finance Minister. At the outset, I must congratulate the Hon. Member for whom we have got great regard on the opportunity he has got to present this Budget for the year 1962-63, the first Budget after the General Elections of 1962. But it is only a little unfortunate that he has begun his career as Finance Minister with proposals for heavy additional taxation.

Sir, the Budget as presented is an impressive record, I must say. Many of the proposals of developmental expenditure under the Plans are all to be desired. The only qualification I would add is that some of these things which could very well have beeen left to individual effort have also been taken up by the Government possibly for demonstration purposes. But how far they will be able to demonstrate with efficiency is a matter for some concern for all of us. Some schemes are specially of that sort and I will refer to them later.

Sir, before additional taxation is embarked upon I want that the Government should see whether all the avenues for retrenchment has been explored, whether avoidance of wastage of expenditure has been attended to, and whether all these inflated figures of Rs. 9.50 crores really represent the necessary expenditure on all improvement and beneficent schemes under the various plans. In the Audit Report which was recently published in the year 1962, I find a very noteworthy statement and that is that it would